







International Social Economy Research Conference 2025 in Bordeaux

(Sciences Po Bordeaux), 27-29 octobre 2025)

« SSE's role in the socio-ecological transition »

Framework proposal

On April 18, 2023, the United Nations General Assembly adopted a resolution recognizing that "social and solidarity economy can contribute to the achievement and localization of the Sustainable Development Goals"¹. In other words, the social and solidarity economy (SSE) appears as a lever for implementing the socio-ecological transition in territories, through a logic that is both multi-actor and multi-scalar (SDG 17 on "doing together" / partnerships). However, we need to define this more precisely. Research into the relationship between the SSE and local development has been well established for some twenty years, yet it is still rare to find explicit reference to the socio-ecological transition, while socio-economic initiatives abound at local level and transition scenarios stress territorial cooperation strategies in which SSE players are particularly involved.

We propose to study the synergies between the territorial dynamics of the SSE and the socioecological transition, defined by the ILO as having to "greening the economy in a way that is as fair and inclusive as possible to everyone concerned, creating decent work opportunities and leaving no one behind". This transition, described as "just", concerns not only ecology, but also the transition to a formal economy and the digital transition, particularly for the selfemployed. This raises the broader question of the SSE's ability to regulate the economy². What role do SSE territorial dynamics play in the socio-ecological transition? In the current multifaceted crisis, is the SSE merely a shock absorber? Is the SSE condemned to seeing its social innovations confined to a reparative logic, or recuperated by the State or the market in the event of success? Or could it be the start of a shift? Can it develop sufficiently to take on all or part of the socio-ecological transition without calling into question the dominant socio-economic regime as a whole? Or does it, on the contrary, provide the foundations for an alternative socioeconomic regime based on a twofold reembedding of the economy into society and nature?

To address these questions, we need to propose a global approach to the SSE. This is why the analysis of the territory, as a meso-economic space bearing a relative autonomy from the global regime, has been preferred³. It offers a framework for conceiving not only a theory of the SSE in transition on a local scale, but also a theory of transformation, i.e. of the meso/macro dialectic without which the transition would remain incomplete. This theoretical approach represents a major innovation for the SSE, which is often criticized for being more of a technè than an epistémè. However, it needs to be implemented in close collaboration with socio-

¹ United Nations General Assembly Resolution, April 18th 2023 on "Promoting the social and solidarity economy for sustainable development".

² Robert Boyer, L'économie sociale et solidaire. Une utopie réaliste pour le XXIe siècle ?, Paris, Les Petits Matins, 2023.

³ Thomas Lamarche et al., « Saisir les processus méso : une approche régulationniste », Économie appliquée, n°1, 2021, p.13-49.









economic players, who will consolidate its empirical foundations through their experiments and find resources to reinforce their impact.

With this in mind, the Social Economy Research Conference intends to place social-ecological transition at the heart of three processes: 1. SSE in transition; 2. the territorial regimes of SSE in transition; 3. the transformation strategies of SSE in transition. These elements will constitute the three questions running through the research conference and all its themes.

1. SSE in transition

What is SSE in transition, meaning SSE that contributes to the socio-ecological transition? It is easy to hypothesize that, while the SSE is predisposed to transition, only part of its field is committed to it, since the SSE was first built to serve its members, and at a time when the ecological question was invisibilized. But what are its contours? The transition is contributing to the recomposition of the boundaries of public action, which necessarily impacts SSE organizations, whose mediating functions between particular interests and the general interest are mobilized. Are there SSE phases in transition? How does the SSE integrate justice, of which it is historically the bearer, into the transition? How does it manage to preserve its specific features while capitalism itself takes over the transition? This may concern a particular sector, but more generally it refers to the conditions for the emergence of a SSE in transition, and the steps needed to achieve it. What are the clues that make it possible to grasp this SSE in transition? Can we locate the coordinates of each of these organizations on a map of the SSE in transition?

2. Territorial regimes of SSE in transition

This institutional approach to SSE organizations refers more broadly to the arrangements into which they fit. While SSE is defined by specific rules at the level of its organizations (democratic governance, non-profit, social utility), it is above all a meso-economic space that is empowered by its collaborative processes, i.e. by its synergies both between SSE components and with their allies, whether public or private, to create system effects (production, consumption, credit, finance, insurance, etc.)⁴. This is in line with new approaches that seek to embed SSE in a project that goes beyond it, such as the commons⁵, which propose embedding the economy in local communities, and thus making ecosystems, whether territorial or sectoral, the agents of change. What are the territorial dynamics of SSE in transition to rethink modes of organization and governance? How can we support the players? What progress indicators are needed to support co-construction processes? This involves analyzing, from the point of view of the SSE in transition, modes of collective action as well as systemic and ecosystemic regulation methods in territories.

3. Transformation strategies of SSE in transition

_

⁴ Nadine Richez-Battesti et Thomas Lamarche. (dir.). (2023). Approches mésoéconomiques des coopératives des régulations socio-politiques [numéro 34]. *Revue de la régulation, capitalisme, insitutions, pouvoirs*. https://doi.org/10.4000/regulation.21951

⁵ Elinor Ostrom, *Governing the commons : the evolution of institutions for collective action*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1990.









The risk of a territorial approach, however, would be to constrain SSE to the local and to a role as a crutch for the dominant socio-economic regime. As a result, it needs to be embedded in a theory of transformation that reformulates the meso-macro dialectic⁶. How can the SSE in transition influence the socio-economic regime, or even replace it? Under what conditions can territorial regimes and SSE ecosystems reach the global order? Which sectors should SSE prioritize? This refers in particular to approaches to transformative social innovation (TSI), which are concerned with "the process by which social innovation challenges, modifies or replaces dominant institutions in a specific socio-material context", i.e. a socio-technical system and a socio-political system geared to the living world. Within the latter, a new field is opening up concerning their capacity to change scale in order to make a success of the transition, based on polycentric governance with an approach that is not only integral, managing to cover the main economic relationships, but also translocal, organizing at both local and extra-local levels⁸.

List of topics

- 1. SSE statistics, reporting and social impact measurements
- 2. SSE and the ecological and energy sectors
- 3. SSE territorial ecosystems and transition
- 4. SSE identities, hybridizations and innovations
- 5. Financing SEE and its organizations
- 6. SSE networks and public policies
- 7. SSE, education & civic rights
- 8. SSE, decent work and the informal economy
- 9. SSE and the fight against poverty and the care economy
- 10. SSE and agri-food system
- 11. Commons and cooperative public services
- 12. SSE and intersectionality
- 13. Other

_

⁶ Benoît Lévesque, « Les innovations sociales et les transformations : un enchaînement qui ne va pas de soi », *in* Juan-Luis Klein *et al.* (dir.), *La transformation sociale par l'innovation sociale*, Québec, PUQ, p.21-33.

⁷ Bonno Pel *et al.*, « Towards a theory of transformative social innovation : A relational framework and 12 propositions », *Research Policy*, Vol. 49, Issue 8, October 2020, Disponible à l'adresse : https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S004873332030158X

⁸ Kristiaan P.W. Kok *et al.*, « Governing translocal experimentation in multi-sited transition programs and challenges », *Environnemental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, Vol. 43, June 2022, p.393-407.



Organising Committee (OC)

Jean-Philippe Berrou (Sciences Po Bordeaux)

Éric Bidet* (Université du Mans)

Carole Brunet* (Université Paris 8)

Elisabetta Bucolo (CNAM Paris)

Gilles Caire (Université de Poitiers)

Sébastien Chailleux (Sciences Po Bordeaux)

Quentin Chapus (Sciences Po Bordeaux)

Angélique Chassy (EM Normandie Business School)

Isabelle Daugareilh (Université de Bordeaux)

Hervé Defalvard (Université Paris-Est Marne-la-Vallée)

Miguel de la Fuente

Timothée Duverger* (Sciences Po Bordeaux)

Maryline Filippi* (Bordeaux Sciences Agro)

Laurent Fraisse (CNAM Paris)

Solène Gastal (CIRIEC International)

Pascal Glémain (Université de Rennes 2)

François Gravié-Plandé (IAE Limoge, Université de Limoge)

Amélia Gustave (Sciences Po Bordeau)

Xabier Itçaina* (Sciences Po Bordeaux)

Alexandrine Lapoutte* (Université Lyon 2)

Abdourahmane Ndiaye (IUT Bordeaux Montaigne)

Thomas Perroud (Université Panthéon-Assas)

Alain Piveteau (Institut de recherche pour le Développement)

Marion Pouzoulet* (Sciences Po Bordeaux)

Nadine Richez-Battesti* (Université Aix-Marseille)

Sylvain Roche (Sciences Po Bordeaux)

Damien Rousselière* (Institut Agro Rennes-Angers)

Barbara Sak (CIRIEC International)

Jeova Torres (Université de Poitiers)

^{* =} members of the organizing committee who are also members of the scientific committee.

Scientific Committee (SC)

Marie J. Bouchard (Université du Québec à Montréal, Canada)

Timothée Duverger (Science Po Bordeaux, France)

Juan Fernando Álvarez Rodríguez (Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá, Colombie)

Sami Aouadi (Université Tunis El Manar, Tunisie)

Cristina Barna (National University of Political Studies and Public Administration, Romania)

Lotfi Ben Aïssa (UGTT, Tunisie)

Éric Bidet (Université du Mans, France)

Jos Bijman (Wageningen University, Pays-Bas)

Carole Brunet (Université Paris 8)

Marietta Bucheli Gómez (Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá, Colombie)

Roberto Cañedo Villarreal (Universidad Autonoma de Guerrerro, Mexique)

Chiara Carini (Euricse, Italie)

Rafael Chaves (Universidad de Valencia, Espagne)

Christina Clamp (Southern New Hampshire University, Etats-Unis)

Saskia Crucke (Universiteit Gent, Belgique)

Hyungsik Eum (Anciennement Alliance coopérative internationale)

Simel Esim (Organisation internationale du travail)

Cynthia Giagnocavo (Universidad de Almeira, Espagne)

Antonio González Rojas (Universitat de València)

Dorothea Greiling (Johannes Kepler Universität, Linz, Autriche)

Satoru Hashimoto (Kansai university, Japon)

Marek Hudon (Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgique)

Xabier Itçaina (Sciences Po Bordeaux, France)

Akira Kurimoto (Hosei University - Tokyo, Japon)

Alexandrine Lapoutte (Université Lyon 2, France)

Sang-Youn Lee (Sungkonghoe University, Séoul, Corée du Sud)

Maude Léonard (Université du Québec à Montréal, Canada)

Carmen Marcuello (Universidad de Zaragoza, Espagne)

Marco Marini (Università degli Studi di Roma 'La Sapienza', Italie)

Olive McCarthy (Centre for Co-operative Studies, University College Cork, Irlande)

Deolinda Meira (Polytecnic Institute of Oporto, Portugal)

Elena MeliáMartí (Universitat Politècnica de València, Espagne)

Yasy Morales Chacón (Universidad de Costa Rica, Costa Rica)

Adoración MozasMoral (Universidad de Jaen, Espagne)

Daniel Nagao Menezes (Universidade Presbiteriana Mackenzie & PUC-Campinas, Sao Paulo, Brésil)

Leandro Pereira Morais (UNESP - Campus Araraquara, Brésil)

Anaïs Périlleux (Université Catholique de Louvain, Belgique)

Marion Pouzoulet (Sciences Po Bordeaux, France)

Nadine Richez-Battesti (Université Aix-Marseille, France)

Damien Rousselière (Institut Agro, Agrocampus Ouest Angers, France)

Barbara Sak (CIRIEC International)

Andrea Salustri (Università degli Studi di Roma 'La Sapienza', Italie)

Jérôme Schoenmaeckers (Université de Liège, Belgique)

Drazen Šimleša (University of Zagreb, Croatie)

Roger Spear (Open University, Royaume Uni)

Kazuhiko Tabata (Hyogo University, Japon)

Ermanno Tortia (University of Trento, Italie)

Martine Vézina (HEC Montréal, Canada)

Davorka Vidović (University of Zagreb, Croatie)

Ilcheong Yi (UNRISD- Institut de recherche des Nations Unies pour le développement social) Alexandra Zbuchea (National University of Political Studies and Public Administration, Roumanie)